at the same rate as the decrease in general private building construction.

Tables IV, V and VI are recapitulations, respectively, of the percentage changes appearing in Tables I, II and III, pages 8 and 9, whereby the rate of variations in the various factors can be readily compared. The base upon which these percentages are figured for Tables I and IV is an arbitrary one arrived at generally by averaging the figures in the various factors involved for the 10-year period 1929-1939 for which we have figures. Tables II and III, and V and VI, use this same base, but proportioned according to the period involved. (Tables to be found on pages 8 and 9.)

The graph is merely the reduction to graph form of the data contained in Table IV.

The number of complaints processed and the prosecutions undertaken (the basis of the figures found under heading "Collective Total" of activities) does not reflect entirely the true picture of the growth and development in these phases of our administration. The thoroughness and expeditiousness with which these complaints are processed is another factor by which the achievements of the Board should be judged. Although no records have been kept as to thoroughness and promptness with which these complaints have been handled, I can state without fear of challenge that there has been tremendous progress made in these factors since 1929. Few criticisms have been expressed in the past three years as to delay in our proceedings or the manner in which our investigations are conducted. These results are attributable to planned programs to raise standards of our service and a conscientious effort on the part of the staff in their execution. These programs have been inaugurated since 1939.

It will be noted from the tables that we reached the peak of our work volume in 1941, and there was a decrease in 1942 of 13 per cent from that of 1941. This was accompanied by a 10 per cent decrease in expenditures and a 2 per cent decrease in number of personnel. As will appear from Tables II and III, the largest part of this decline in work volume occurred in the last two quarters of the fiscal year 1942.

It was because of this falling curve and trend, and the strong possibility that it would continue, that the budget for the 1942-43 fiscal year and the number of personnel were decreased to $163,947 and 46 employees, respectively, as against a budget of $210,845 and a personnel of 60.8 in 1941-42. (At this writing, as a result of adding an additional employee and blanket salary increases, the expenditure for this fiscal year will be approximately $9,700 additional, or $173,657, with a personnel of 47.) This will be a decrease under that of 1942 of 22 per cent in expenditures and 25 per cent in personnel. Whether there will be a corresponding decrease in the amount of complaints to be processed during the year is a matter of anyone's opinion. It is your Registrar's opinion that there will be a corresponding decrease in regular complaint activities of the Board, but that other activities of the Board not reflected in the work volume in the above tables should increase rather than decrease sufficiently to take up any slack in time of the personnel that might be felt as a result of an unexpected decline in the reported investigational phases of the Board's work.

In the use and analysis of this report and the accompanying tables and graph it should be constantly kept in mind that a full and complete picture of the work and activities of the Board is not and can not be given in quantitive figures for tabulation for the reason that many of the activities of the Board's staff—and particularly the inspectors—are not susceptible to reduction to definite figures or units of measure as are the number of formal and informal complaints processed, prosecutions handled and examinations given. This has been particularly true during the past three and one-half years when many new and beneficial programs in administration of the act were undertaken and executed involving time and work which was not performed prior to 1939, or was done with less intensity and less satisfactory results. Spot-checks of the daily reports of inspectors, from which can be gathered information concerning the division of time between the various phases of their work, reveal that more than 40 per cent of the inspectors' time is devoted to activities which are incapable of being reduced to a common unit of measure and are not reflected in the work volume data as given in the foregoing tables. For this reason, and so a more comprehensive picture may be given of the Board's administration—particularly during the past three and one-half years—a brief synopsis of these additional activities and their extent is given herewith.

1—LIEN CHECKS:

For the past year and one-half in the Los Angeles, Sacramento and San Francisco offices, upon the justifiable assumption that in most cases where a lien is filed there is some trouble or probable violation of the act, all mechanics' liens recorded have been investigated daily. In Los Angeles this checking (by telephone as far as possible) consumes practically the entire time of one of our best

inspectors and a quarter of the time of a stenographer-clerk. If, as a result of this preliminary investigation, trouble is indicated, it is referred for follow-up to the inspector in whose territory the contractor involved is a resident. These lien checks are proving extremely beneficial and will be continued. The number of such lien filings has decreased somewhat in the past month. However, the troubles discovered and time consumed in investigation does not seem to have decreased proportionately.

The following is a schedule showing the result of this lien checking in Los Angeles for several months in 1941:

January 1, 1941, to September 1, 1941

A—No. of liens filed			4,955
Amount involved in liens filed $1,132,122.37			
B—Liens eliminated by office check preliminary to checking:			
Under $100 minimum	511		
Against owners	772		
Total liens excluded		1,283	
C—Liens investigated or posted for investigation:			
Cleared by preliminary office check	431		
Investigated by office, posted for field investigation	871		
Total liens investigated		1,302	
Contractors involved in posted liens	272		
Amount involved in posted liens	$186,835.72		
D—Results of lien check:			
Contractors cleared by field investigation	116		
Formals filed by Inspectors on field investigation	49		
Prosecutions filed by Inspectors on field investigation	16		
Flags filed by Inspectors on field investigation	1		
Formals issued owners by Inspectors on field investigation	16		
E—Total liens investigated		2,585	
Number of liens reported, posted against contractors already being investigated		2,370	
Total liens			4,955

2—RECLASSIFICATION CHECK AND WAR DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT INVENTORY SURVEY:

In January and February, 1942, a Statewide personal survey of all Heavy Construction contractors was conducted for the dual purpose of obtaining information which would guide us in reclassifying them, and data concerning their equipment which the War Department had requested us to gather and furnish them. Over 1,000 licensees were personally contacted by our inspectors—sometimes necessitating two and three trips. The performance of this job consumed at least 800 inspector hours (four 7-hour days for 30 inspectors), in addition to the time of clerical help in the preparation, mimeographing, distribution, segregation and collection of the data, estimated to be a total of 100 clerical hours. For the past two weeks at least half of the time of one stenographer and one-quarter of the time of one inspector and practically the full time of the Assistant Registrar has been devoted to the addressing and mailing of a supplemental questionnaire requested by the War Department. We expect that the assembly and segregation of such data, when returned to us, will consume more than twice as much time and attention. It is probable that other emergency jobs of this nature will be required of our staff.

In addition to the above survey, during the months of February and March, 1942, we conducted for the National Resources Planning Board a State-wide survey of personnel shortage in the city, county and State Government, which consumed a large portion of the time of the Assistant Registrar, the inspectors and clerical staff, the amount of which it is impossible to approximate.

3—EXAMINATION AND CLASSIFICATION:

Probably no activity performed by the Board, outside of the processing of formal and informal complaints, consumes more time of the personnel than the preparation, conducting and grading of examinations which were inaugurated on a small scale in October, 1939, and have been progressively increasing in number and types of examinations given. The preparation of each new special examination adds additional work in this regard. The full time of one employee, the Examiner, is devoted to the preparation of examinations and the preparation of rules of classification. In the Los Angeles office the full time of one stenographer-clerk is consumed in the giving of examinations. At least five hours per week of the time of the seven inspectors monitoring examinations in remote areas is consumed in this phase of their work. The full time of at least two clerical employees in the Sacramento office is required to grade the examinations, mimeograph them and handle the increased correspondence required as a result of the inauguration of this program. In addition to these items is the added burden of compiling records and compiling statistical data in relation to them. Likewise in the field much time of the inspectors and deputies is now consumed in answering inquiries concerning the nature and the conduct of examinations, and,

when requested, reviewing the papers of those who have failed. It is difficult to accurately estimate in personnel hours the extent of this added burden, but I am being extremely conservative in estimating that it has increased our over-all work volume by at least 10 per cent.

The classification program has as yet put little additional burden on the investigational and clerical personnel, but it has increased the work of the administrative staff who have spent many days interviewing and talking to trade groups concerning proper definition and limitation of activities of the various classifications.

No survey of the licensees in the general building and specialty classifications has yet been undertaken for purposes of reclassification, and this job must be done before the examination program can be made entirely effective. This failure has been due to the lack of time on the part of the personnel to accomplish it; but, should other work slacken and it is undertaken, it is estimated that it would, if done consecutively, take the full time of all our present inspectors for at least six months to do it by personal contact, which is the only method deemed feasible. Considerable clerical work would also be involved in preparing, segregating and tabulating the data collected. Additional work of the administrative staff would also be involved in granting and conducting hearings of those claimed aggrieved by the reclassification. None of the great increase in the volume of our work brought about by the inauguration of our program of examination and reclassification is reflected in the annual Table I or the recap Table IV contained in this report.

4—CHANGES IN RENEWAL FORM:

As a result of the changes made in the renewal form in 1940 whereby the applicant was required to give certain information concerning his compensation insurance and social security, a tremendous amount of additional clerical work was required of our staff due to the fact that we found it necessary to return several thousand applications where applicants had failed to properly complete their forms. Furthermore, the counter work at our main offices in June of each year increased markedly due to inquiries concerning this new form. Inspectors in remote territories were also deluged with such inquiries, the answering of which consumed considerably more of their time.

5—CHANGES IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION FORM:

In January, 1942, the Board changed its original application form by substituting the giving of references for the old sponsorship requirement, but requiring that references be checked. This checking, a new and added burden, is consuming an average of 20 minutes of the examination monitor's time per application, which was not formerly required.

6—PUBLIC RELATIONS:

A concerted drive has been made by the Registrar and his staff during the past three years to better its public relations to the end that the building public may become better acquainted with the services rendered by the Board. The inspectors have been requested to make as many contacts as possible with the leaders of the construction industry and the various building officials in their territories. A check of their daily reports reveals that they have not been neglecting this important phase of their work, and a larger proportion of their time has been devoted to it in the past three years.

During 1940 and 1941 meetings of licensed contractors in various areas were arranged and sponsored by the Board's staff. The preparation and mailing of notices of these meetings, which were educational in nature, consumed considerable of the time of the inspectors and the clerical staff employed in it. Such a program had never been attempted previously and it should be renewed.

In addition to these meetings, the Registrar, his Assistant and Deputies, have spent a proportionately greater part of their time in meeting with and addressing the members of various Contractors' Organizations.

7—REVISION OF BOARD'S RULES AND ISSUANCE OF REGISTRAR'S ORDERS:

During the past year a considerable portion of the time of the Registrar, the Deputies and the Examiner has been spent upon revision of the Board's Rules and the preparation of the Registrar's Orders. Several conferences of the Deputies were held for this purpose and many of their working hours consumed in drafting rules upon certain subjects assigned to them by the Registrar. The burden of clerical work in the assembling, mimeographing and distribution of these new Rules and Orders was no small item in relation to their total work volume.

8—AMENDATORY LEGISLATION:

During the year 1940 and 1941 the Registrar and his administrative staff devoted much of their time to preparation of drafts of amendments to the Contractors' Law, and in presenting their recommendations to the industry and the Legislature. This was no small job and involved the compilation of the

arguments in favor of the suggested amendments and their mimeographing and mailing to all the Contractors' Organizations. The clerical work involved in this project was of sizable proportions. The full time of one deputy was employed for several months in explaining and justifying these amendments to the members of the legislature.

9—COMPILATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF LEGAL OPINIONS AND COURT CASES:

In the year 1941 all court decisions and all opinions of the Attorney General and of the former attorney for the Board construing the provisions of the Contractors' License Law were gathered, indexed, mimeographed and distributed to all the personnel. This job consumed the full time of a deputy for approximately ten working days, and entailed considerable clerical work in its preparation.

10—INSPECTORS AND DEPUTIES CONFERENCES:

Since the fall of 1938 it has been the practice to hold a three-day annual inspectors' conference and school. The preparation of the data, course of instruction, and examination questions for this conference regularly involves more than a full ten days work on the part of the deputy in charge of the school, and also consumes much time of the Sacramento clerical force in its preparation.

The practice of holding quarterly administrative staff meetings was inaugurated in the spring of 1941. This practice has greatly improved the uniformity of the administration of the act throughout the State, but has taken additional time of the deputies from their regular duties.

11—REGISTRAR'S REPORTS TO THE BOARD:

The regular quarterly written reports of the Registrar to the Board, in which statistical data as to enforcement activities is incorporated, has placed an added burden on the Sacramento clerical force in the keeping and compiling of the necessary data and the preparation of the reports. Such reports were not rendered prior to 1939, and the time of the staff concerned in their preparation is nowhere reflected in the tables contained in this report.

12—PUBLICATIONS:

Much time of the Registrar and his staff during the past three years has, with pleasing results, been devoted to increasing the usefulness, size and scope of the three publications issued by the Board.

The California Licensed Contractor, the quarterly publication mailed to all licensees, was in 1940 increased from a 9″ x 6″ four-page pamphlet to a 16-page color-covered magazine containing articles and information relative to the Contractors' Law and matters otherwise of interest to the contractor. The preparation of articles for this organ, and the detail of having it printed and mailed to 37,000 contractors, has involved a sizable portion of the time of the Assistant Registrar, a deputy, and the Sacramento clerical force.

The Contractors' Handbook has been revised annually for the past three years. This revision has involved considerable administrative time and consideration over that formerly devoted to the first publication issued in 1938.

With the increase in licentiates the job of publishing the annual directory has taken more time of the personnel which can not be reflected in any work unit. In 1940 considerable time of the Registrar, his Assistant, and the Sacramento clerical force was consumed in circularizing and revising the Directory Free List, with the result that many persons were eliminated from it.

13—GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES:

In addition to the foregoing specifically described "extra" activities recently inaugurated or extended, your Registrar has embarked upon other programs, such as the drive for "trustee clause" in private building contracts, conferences on plans for closer cooperation between other related State and Federal Agencies affecting the construction industry, the drive against high-pressure sales organizations, cooperation with the State Bar Committee on Administrative Law, etc., all of which, besides consuming the majority of the time of your Registrar and his Assistant, involves a tremendous amount of clerical detail which is not and can not be reduced to comparative work units and is not reflected in the foregoing tables.

It is the considered opinion of your Registrar that the volume of general administrative and clerical work handled by the Board's staff has increased well over 100 per cent from what it was in 1938. A goodly portion of this increase in administrative work had to be and was delegated to and handled by the Deputies and Inspectors.

One of the ideas that prompted the preparation and submission of this report was the thought that the information on the past experience of the Board's volume of work might give some insight into what might happen in this regard in the immediate future. However, I can't say, after a study of the figures,

that it is very helpful in this regard. Other factors, such as the various orders of the War Production Board and the National Housing Agency, give a great deal more insight into the future.

One outgrowth of the preparation of this report, which would fully justify the work in gathering and compiling the data contained herein were the report to serve no other purpose, is the fact that the present so-called method of setting work standards for the personnel and measuring the volume of their work is woefully inadequate. To meet this inadequacy your Registrar is setting up a system of revised daily and monthly reports to be filed by all members of the field and administrative force whereby the division between the various phases of their work can be tabulated to the end that the Board may compare total time spent on one phase of an enforcement activity as against another, as well as comparing the work volume of one employee as to another. This new reporting system will be presented at the next meeting of the Board.

Respectfully submitted.

Allen Miller

Registrar of Contractors

AM:PM

Directory of Licensed Contractors

The Registrar of Contractors, with the approval of the Board, has undertaken the publishing of an alphabetical directory of licensed contractors for this current fiscal year.

This alphabetical listing, rather than a geographical one, was decided upon after a survey conducted within the industry disclosed the alphabetical one to have the greatest utility.

This alphabetical directory was published on August 28, 1942. It shows all contractors licensed with the Board as of July 15, 1942, numbering 28,887.

Monthly alphabetical supplements to the directory are issued, commencing on September 1st through the end of the fiscal year. The September 1st supplement contains approximately 2,000 licensees, as those whose renewal applications were irregular, and which have now been clarified, are not contained in the main directory.

The following information is given of each licensee:

When an individual—the name, address and classification.

When a partnership—the name of the partnership, the address, the names of each partner and classification.

When a fictitious named company—the fictitious name of the company, the address, the name of the individual or individuals or partners in the company and the classification.

When a corporation—the name of the corporation, the address, the names and titles of the directors and officers and the classification.

A limited number of directories are available, so it is suggested that if you desire a copy that you make application to the Registrar at your earliest convenience to insure your receiving a copy. The charge for this service is $10.

Appellate Court Reverses Decision Rendered Against Registrar

The State's Appellate Court of the First District reversed the decision of a San Francisco Superior Court which had in a mandamus proceeding ordered the Registrar to restore a license, which he had suspended.

The trial court had ruled as inadmissible as evidence the transcript of the proceedings presented before the Registrar at the hearing conducted by him in the first instance. The higher court held that the trial court had made a prejudicial error in excluding such evidence, where, had it been introduced, it would have warranted the court in sustaining the order of the Registrar instead of finding that all but one of the charged violations were barred by the statute of limitations.

In the complaint filed before the Registrar the defendant contractor was charged with wilfully departing from and disregarding the plans and specifications in nineteen specified particulars and that in the performance of the contract he violated the safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission in 11 specified particulars. In the answer to the complaint the defendant admitted that most of the charged violations had been committed, but that it was necessary for him to rely on the integrity and ability of the men selected by him to oversee the work, and that he had misjudged the ability and integrity of the man he had selected to oversee the work.

The decision of the Registrar imposing the suspension also contained the condition that the defendant make restitution to the complainant "satisfactory" to the Registrar. The higher court held that such a condition is not sufficiently specific and therefore invalid. It suggested that any such condition should be definite in that it must inform the licensee what must be done before he can have his license restored. The court's holding will be followed henceforth.

TABLE I—FISCAL YEARS

(1) Dates	(2)						(3) ACTIVITIES						(4) LICENSEES						P
(B) (A) Periods ending	(C) Formal complaint cases		(D)		(E) Informal complaints		(F) Prosecutions		(G) Examinations		(H) Collective activities		(I) All classifications		Periods ending	(J) Administrative		(K) Inspectors	
	Filed	Per cent base	Hearings	Per cent base	Processed	Per cent base	Undertaken	Per cent base	Given	Per cent base	Total	Per cent base	Total	Per cent base		Number	Per cent base	Number	
(d) (a)		(t)		(t)		(t)		(t)		(u)		(t)		(t)		AVG. MO.	(u)	AVG. MO.	
(e) 6/30/30	204	48	217	50	12	2	0	0	------	------	433	28	21,624	81	6/30/30	------	------	------	
(f) 6/30/31	823	195	855	196	67	11	2	2	------	------	1,747	113	27,633	103	6/30/31	------	------	------	
(g) 6/30/32	548	130	593	136	585	99	38	36	------	------	1,764	114	25,050	93	6/30/32	------	------	------	
(h) 6/30/33	296	70	349	80	441	75	84	79	------	------	1,170	75	22,404	83	6/30/33	------	------	------	
(i) 6/30/34	352	84	398	91	511	87	160	151	------	------	1,421	92	22,418	84	6/30/34	------	------	------	
(j) 6/30/35	236	56	243	56	449	76	95	90	------	------	1,023	66	22,699	85	6/30/35	------	------	------	
(k) 6/30/36	244	58	256	59	586	100	120	113	------	------	1,206	78	26,234	98	6/30/36	------	------	------	
(l) 6/30/37	384	91	426	98	961	163	117	110	------	------	1,888	122	29,446	110	6/30/37	------	------	------	
(m) 6/30/38	456	108	505	116	1,050	178	190	179	------	------	2,201	142	33,204	124	6/30/38	------	------	------	
(n) 6/30/39	667	158	511	117	1,226	208	254	240	------	------	2,658	171	37,659	140	6/30/39	------	------	------	
(o) 6/30/40	783	186	607	139	1,614	274	276	260	3,564	111	3,280	211	39,495	147	6/30/40	5.8	89	31.1	
(p) 6/30/41	806	191	594	136	1,843	313	242	228	4,167	130	3,485	225	38,973	145	6/30/41	6.8	104	32.7	
(q) 6/30/42	832	198	532	122	1,760	299	160	151	1,856	58	3,284	212	36,808	137	6/30/42	6.8	104	32.4	
(r) --------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	
Totals----	6,631	------	6,086	------	11,105	------	1,738	------	9,587	------	25,560	------	383,647	------	------	19.4	------	96.2	
Averages--	510	------	468	------	845	------	134	------	3,196	------	1,966	------	29,511	------	------	6.5	------	32.1	
(s) 6/30/43	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	(s)	6	------	23	

TABLE II—QUARTERS

(1) Dates	(2)						(3) ACTIVITIES						(4) LICENSEES						P
(B) (A) Periods ending	(C) Formal complaint cases		(D)		(E) Informal complaints		(F) Prosecutions		(G) Examinations		(H) Collective activities		(I) All classifications		Periods ending	(J) Administrative		(K) Inspectors	
	Filed	Per cent base	Hearings	Per cent base	Processed	Per cent base	Undertaken	Per cent base	Given	Per cent base	Total	Per cent base	Total	Per cent base		Number	Per cent base	Number	
(b)		(v)		(v)		(v)		(v)		(v)		(v)		(v)		(z)	(v)	(z)	
(j) 6/30/39	218	107	162	111	429	99	91	154	0	0	900	57	1,702	19	6/30/39	------	------	------	
(k) 9/30/39	186	92	145	99	384	88	56	95	0	0	771	45	35,502	395	9/30/39	5.0	77	31.0	
(l) 12/31/39	201	99	130	89	360	83	86	146	1,145	131	1,922	122	1,627	18	12/31/39	5.3	82	31.0	
3/31/40	192	95	173	119	459	106	61	103	1,248	143	2,133	135	1,344	15	3/31/40	6.0	93	31.0	
6/30/40	204	100	159	109	411	95	73	124	1,171	134	2,018	128	1,015	11	6/30/40	6.7	104	31.3	
9/30/40	156	77	154	106	447	103	58	98	1,277	147	2,092	132	36,356	404	9/30/40	6.3	97	31.0	
(m) 12/31/40	142	70	138	95	394	91	76	129	914	105	1,664	105	1,026	11	12/31/40	7.0	108	31.7	
3/31/41	242	119	119	82	495	114	47	80	1,015	116	1,918	121	896	10	3/31/41	7.0	108	34.0	
(n) 6/30/41	266	131	183	126	507	117	61	103	961	110	1,978	125	695	8	6/30/41	7.0	108	34.0	
(o) 9/30/41	183	90	120	82	396	91	53	90	852	98	1,604	102	35,554	395	9/30/41	7.0	108	33.7	
(p) 12/31/41	224	110	145	99	505	116	48	81	433	50	1,355	86	688	8	12/31/41	7.0	108	33.0	
(q) 3/31/42	195	96	155	106	457	105	32	54	334	38	1,173	74	330	4	3/31/42	7.0	108	33.0	
(r) 6/30/42	230	113	112	77	402	93	27	46	237	27	1,008	64	236	3	6/30/42	6.3	97	30.0	
Totals----	2,639		1,895	------	5,646	------	769	------	9,587	------	20,536	------	116,971	------	------	77.6	------	384.7	
Averages--	203	------	146	------	434	------	59	------	872	------	1,580	------	8,998	------	------	6.5	------	32.1	

TABLE III—MONTHS

(1) Dates	(2)						(3) ACTIVITIES						(4) LICENSEES						P
(B) (A) Periods ending	(C) Formal complaint cases		(D)		(E) Informal complaints		(F) Prosecutions		(G) Examinations		(H) Collective activities		(I) All classifications		Periods ending	(J) Administrative		(K) Inspectors	
	Filed	Per cent base	Hearings	Per cent base	Processed	Per cent base	Undertaken	Per cent base	Given	Per cent base	Total	Per cent base	Total	Per cent base		Number	Per cent base	Number	
(e)		(w)		(w)		(w)		(w)		(w)		(w)		(w)		(z)	(w)	(z)	
(n) 9/30/41	46	66	31	70	89	61	15	123	171	146	352	91	557	308	9/30/41	7	103	33	
10/31/41	81	117	48	108	187	129	20	164	193	164	529	136	377	208	10/31/41	7	103	33	
(o) 11/30/41	86	124	37	84	146	100	16	131	129	110	414	106	175	97	11/30/41	7	103	33	
(p) 12/31/41	57	82	60	135	172	118	12	98	111	94	412	106	136	75	12/31/41	7	103	33	
1/31/42	59	85	58	131	131	90	10	82	99	84	357	92	126	70	1/31/42	7	103	33	
2/28/42	69	99	44	99	131	90	13	107	98	83	355	91	108	60	2/28/42	7	103	33	
(q) 3/31/42	67	96	53	120	195	134	9	74	137	117	461	119	96	53	3/31/42	7	103	33	
(r) 4/30/42	71	102	46	104	157	108	7	57	83	71	364	94	101	56	4/30/42	7	103	32	
5/31/42	48	69	38	86	130	89	7	57	43	37	266	68	94	52	5/31/42	6	88	30	
6/30/42	111	160	28	63	115	79	13	107	111	94	378	97	41	23	6/30/42	6	8[illegible]	28	
Totals----	695	------	443	------	1,453	------	122	------	1,175	------	3,888	------	1,811	------	------	68	------	[illegible]	
Averages--	70	------	44	------	145	------	12	------	118	------	389	------	181	------	------	6.8	------	32.1	

TABLE IV—FISCAL YEARS

(5) ERSON					(N) Expenditures		(6) FINANCIAL		(7) CONSTRUCTION		Volume of work	Comparison recapitulation showing per cent of base averages—bases taken as 100%				
) ec-	(L) Clerical		(M) Grand total				(O) Income	(P) Surplus	State building permits			Combined personnel	Total expenditures	Total licensees	Building permits	Periods ending
Per cent base	Number	Per cent base	Number	Per cent base	Total	Per cent base	Total revenue		Total volume	Per cent base						
(u)	AVG. MO.	(u)	(y)	(t)		(t)				(t)	(t)	(t)	(t)	(t)	(t)	
-----	-----	-----	18	39	$104,048	71	$103,011	$—1,037	$226,388,164	139	28	39	71	81	139	6/30/30
-----	-----	-----	33	72	133,037	91	138,226	5,189	173,090,109	107	113	72	91	103	107	6/30/31
-----	-----	-----	43	94	149,209	102	251,461	102,252	101,863,126	63	114	94	102	93	63	6/30/32
-----	-----	-----	44.5	97	150,411	102	228,768	78,357	84,986,073	52	75	97	102	83	52	6/30/33
-----	-----	-----	43.6	95	143,438	98	145,628	2,190	77,071,435	47	92	95	98	84	47	6/30/34
-----	-----	-----	41.8	91	145,908	99	146,110	202	80,383,373	50	66	91	99	85	50	6/30/35
-----	-----	-----	39.0	85	143,955	98	171,767	27,812	156,034,106	96	78	85	98	98	96	6/30/36
-----	-----	-----	46.3	101	147,315	100	192,578	45,263	213,947,535	132	122	101	100	110	132	6/30/37
-----	-----	-----	51.8	113	166,906	114	211,288	44,382	241,145,936	149	142	113	114	124	149	6/30/38
-----	-----	-----	55.4	121	183,745	125	237,830	54,085	268,542,470	165	171	121	125	140	165	6/30/39
92	21.2	98	58.1	127	198,178	135	222,952	24,774	286,332,441	176	211	127	135	147	165	6/30/40
102	22.2	102	61.7	134	225,779	154	227,121	1,342	404,146,080	249	225	134	154	145	249	6/30/41
101	21.6	99	60.8	132	(s) 210,845	144	(s) 222,646	11,801	312,963,454	193	212	132	144	137	193	6/30/42
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	65.0	-----	597	-----	$2,102,774	-----	$2,499,386	396,612	$2,608,894,302	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	21.7	-----	45.9	-----	161,752	-----	192,260	30,509	200,684,177	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	17	-----	46	-----	163,947	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

TABLE V—QUARTERS

(5) ERSONNEL					(N) Expenditures		(6) FINANCIAL		(7) CONSTRUCTION		Volume of work	Comparison recapitulation showing per cent of base averages—bases taken as 100%				
) ec- s	(L) Clerical		(M) Grand total				(O) Income	(P) Surplus	State building permits			Combined personnel	Total expenditures	Total licensees	Building permits	Periods ending
Per cent base	Number	Per cent base	Number	Per cent base	Total	Per cent base	Total revenue		Total volume	Per cent base						
(v)	(z)	(v)	(z)	(v)						(v)	(v)	(v)		(v)	(v)	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	$75,212,444	91	57	-----	-----	19	91	6/30/39
97	26.0	120	62.0	103	-----	-----	-----	-----	67,665,001	82	45	103	-----	395	82	9/30/39
97	18.7	86	55.0	91	-----	-----	-----	-----	65,385,474	79	122	91	-----	18	79	12/31/39
97	19.0	88	56.0	93	-----	-----	-----	-----	74,415,624	90	135	93	-----	15	90	3/31/40
98	21.3	98	59.3	99	-----	-----	-----	-----	78,866,342	95	128	99	-----	11	95	6/30/40
97	25.0	115	62.3	104	-----	-----	-----	-----	89,708,677	108	132	104	-----	404	108	9/30/40
99	21.0	97	59.7	99	-----	-----	-----	-----	89,205,509	108	105	99	-----	11	108	12/31/40
106	21.0	97	62.0	103	-----	-----	-----	-----	122,418,086	148	121	103	-----	10	148	3/31/41
106	21.7	100	62.7	104	-----	-----	-----	-----	102,813,808	124	125	104	-----	8	124	6/30/41
105	24.7	114	65.4	109	-----	-----	-----	-----	93,791,827	113	102	109	-----	395	113	9/30/41
103	20.7	96	60.7	101	-----	-----	-----	-----	95,942,724	116	86	101	-----	8	116	12/31/41
103	20.0	92	60.0	100	-----	-----	-----	-----	71,144,682	86	74	100	-----	4	86	3/31/42
94	20.7	96	57.0	95	-----	-----	-----	-----	52,084,221	63	64	95	-----	3	63	6/30/42
-----	259.8	-----	722.1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	$1,078,654,419	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	21.7	-----	60.2	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	82,973,417	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

TABLE VI—MONTHS

(5) ERSONNEL					(N) Expenditures		(6) FINANCIAL		(7) CONSTRUCTION		Volume of work	Comparison recapitulation showing per cent of base averages—bases taken as 100%				
) ec- s	(L) Clerical		(M) Grand total				(O) Income	(P) Surplus	State building permits			Combined personnel	Total expenditures	Total licensees	Building permits	Periods ending
Per cent base	Number	Per cent base	Number	Per cent base	Total	Per cent base	Total revenue		Total volume	Per cent base						
(w)	(z)	(w)	(z)	(w)						(w)	(w)	(w)		(w)	(w)	
103	21	102	61	103	-----	-----	-----	-----	$26,004,110	106	91	103	-----	308	106	9/30/41
103	21	102	61	103	-----	-----	-----	-----	43,799,139	179	136	103	-----	208	179	10/31/41
103	20	98	60	101	-----	-----	-----	-----	31,207,920	127	106	101	-----	97	127	11/30/41
103	21	102	61	103	-----	-----	-----	-----	20,935,665	85	106	103	-----	75	85	12/31/41
103	19	93	59	99	-----	-----	-----	-----	22,891,439	93	92	99	-----	70	93	1/31/42
103	20	98	60	101	-----	-----	-----	-----	28,694,777	117	91	101	-----	60	117	2/28/42
103	21	102	61	103	-----	-----	-----	-----	19,558,466	80	119	103	-----	53	80	3/31/42
100	21	102	60	101	-----	-----	-----	-----	19,708,638	80	94	101	-----	56	80	4/30/42
93	20	98	56	94	-----	-----	-----	-----	11,368,223	46	68	94	-----	52	46	5/31/42
87	[illegible]	102	55	93	-----	-----	-----	-----	21,007,360	86	97	93	-----	23	86	6/30/42
-----	[illegible]	-----	594	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	$245,175,737	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	20.5	-----	59.4	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	24,517,574	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Rules and Regulations of the Contractors' State License Board

DIVISION II

Rules of General Application Relating to the Licensing, Prequalification, Classification and Disciplining of Contractors

The Contractors' State License Board, at its regular meeting held in Los Angeles on July 24, 1942, passed several new rules which affect the general policies of enforcement and add new special examinations for those who wish to engage in the Roofing or Tile Contracting business. It is suggested that this article be added to the Rules and Regulations printed in the May edition of The California Licensed Contractor which you should have in your possession.

ARTICLE I—GENERAL POLICIES OF ENFORCEMENT

Rule 23. Informal Complaints. Policy of Board

It is the declared policy of the Board, when an oral complaint is filed against a licensee for violation of any provision of Chapter 9, Division III of the Business and Professions Code, and said complaint involves matters other than honesty, integrity or fraud of the licensee, that every reasonable effort be made to settle such complaint informally with the mutual acquiescence of the parties.

ARTICLE IV—CLASSIFICATION

Rule 52.10. Class C-39—Roofing Contractors

A Roofing Contractor is a specialty contractor whose principal contracting business is the execution of contracts, usually subcontracts requiring the art, ability, experience, knowledge, science and skill to intelligently examine surfaces and specify the preliminary and preparatory work necessary to bring such surfaces to a condition where, under an agreed specification, acceptable work can be executed and fabricated thereon with such material or materials as do seal, waterproof and weatherproof such surfaces by such means and in such manner as to prevent, hold, keep and stop water, its derivatives, compounds, and solids, from penetrating and passing any such protective material, membrane, roof, surface or seal, thereby gaining access to material or space beyond such weatherproof, waterproof or watertight material, membrane, roof, surface or seal with the use of any, or all, of the following: asphaltum, pitch, tar, felt, flax, shakes, shingles, roof tile, slate and any other material or materials, or any combination of any thereof, that use and custom has established as usable for, or which material or materials are now used as, such waterproof, weatherproof or watertight seal for such membranes, roofs and surfaces; but shall not include a contractor whose sole contracting business is the installation of devices or stripping for the internal control of external weather conditions.

Rule 52.11. Class C-54—Tile Contractors

A Tile Contractor is a specialty contractor whose principal contracting business is the execution of contracts, usually subcontracts, requiring the art, ability, experience, knowledge, science, and skill to intelligently examine the surfaces and specify and execute the preliminary and preparatory work necessary to bring such surfaces to a condition where under an agreed specification, acceptable tile work can be executed thereon by first preparing a base or subsurface upon such original surface and then upon such prepared base or subsurface execute ceramic, clay, encaustic, faience, quarry, semivitreous, vitreous and other tile and tile work, and to which prepared base or subsurface such tile will adhere by suction or is held upon such prepared base or subsurface by adhesives.

ARTICLE V—EXAMINATIONS

Rule 63.1. Registrar May Waive Examination

(Amended to include the following paragraph)

4. When an applicant seeks a license to perform construction work directly connected with and in furtherance of the war effort, and the Registrar has investigated the fact and is satisfied that the applicant is qualified as to integrity, skill and financial ability to perform such work, and to require the taking and passing of the required examination would work an unnecessary hardship on the applicant with a tendency to hamper the war effort. This rule shall terminate at the conclusion of the war.

Roy Butcher To Again Serve As Board Chairman

At the July 24th meeting of the Board Roy M. Butcher was again selected to serve as its Chairman for the seventh consecutive time. William Nies was again chosen to serve as Vice Chairman.

Army Equipment Pool Formed To Conserve Nation's Construction Machinery

To conserve the Nation's resources of construction machinery and to expedite completion of Army construction projects, the Corps of Engineers is establishing a Nation-wide pool of construction machinery. Since contractors are now able to purchase few items of heavy construction equipment, and will find market restrictions greatly increasing during coming months, it is essential that they familiarize themselves with the administration of the Army equipment pool which will form the major source of supply available to contractors engaged upon Army construction projects. Details of the pool, its administration and operation are set forth in the following series of questions and answers, much in the same manner that the information was presented to a group of nearly 200 northern California contractors at a recent meeting of the Northern California Chapter of the Associated General Contractors of America.

Q—What is the Army equipment pool?

A—It consists of all construction machinery owned, controlled or rented by the Corps of Engineers. It includes rented equipment with or without an applicable recapture clause.

Q—Who operates the Army equipment pool?

A—It is administered by the Construction Equipment Branch of the Operations Division, Corps of Engineers, under the direction of Major Robert L. Richardson. Administration of the pool is delegated to newly formed mechanical equipment sections within the Operations Division of each of the United States Engineer Department Divisions. Three of these are located within the 11 Western States, with headquarters at San Francisco, Calif. (South Pacific Division); Portland, Ore. (North Pacific Division); and Salt Lake City, Utah (Mountain Division). Actual custody of the equipment is delegated to the district engineers within each division.

Q—What is the purpose of the Army equipment pool?

A—There are four reasons for the formation of the pool: (1) to expedite and provide for completion of future projects by conserving and controlling use of mechanical equipment; (2) to eliminate the present bottleneck of time buying—contractors can not afford a long lapse of time between the order and delivery of construction equipment, while the Government, with a large pool of equipment under its control, will be in a position to accept future deliveries; (3) to insure the availability of an adequate supply of repair parts for overhauling and maintenance equipment on essential projects; (4) to regulate the use of construction equipment—both Government owned and contractor owned—to prevent abuse of equipment and to best conserve present equipment resources. Establishment of a pool is expected to provide the maximum use of construction equipment with a minimum of time lost in transportation between projects, and mechanical breakdowns.

Q—Is it likely that contractors can buy and secure delivery of new construction equipment in the near future?

A—Only with the approval of the District Engineer. The first call for nearly all new construction equipment now coming from manufacturers has been allotted to the combat services, who are expected to require a large proportion of the output. The remainder will probably be purchased directly by the Corps of Engineers or other Governmental agencies. In most cases, the demand for construction equipment considerably exceeds the production capacity, and in one classification of equipment, the demand is nearly two and one-half times the production capacity.

Q—What are the sources of the equipment in the pool?

A—They include equipment previously purchased by the Corps of Engineers, future purchases of new and used equipment, machines secured through exercise of the recapture clause on Army construction projects, idle equipment requisitioned by the Corps of Engineers, and privately owned equipment rented to the Corps of Engineers, either with or without a recapture clause.

Q—What general types of equipment are included in the pool?

A—All types of heavy construction equipment, including tractors and allied tractor equipment, power shovels, cranes and

draglines, trenchers and similar equipment, trucks, crushing, screening, batching and mixing equipment, compressors, graders, rollers, and pavement finishers. Some smaller tools which form a part of the existing equipment owned by the Army are included, but it will be the general practice not to purchase additional equipment which has a value of less than $300.

Q—How large is the entire pool?

A—At present in all parts of the United States equipment with a total value of $27,000,000 is being rented to contractors by the Corps of Engineers.

Q—Who may secure equipment?

A—Contractors who have been awarded construction contracts by the Corps of Engineers. If equipment is available, and is requested by another governmental agency, it may be allotted to other war projects which are considered to be essential by the district engineer having jurisdiction over the equipment requested. If the particular type of equipment needed for an army project is not available in the district, the request will be forwarded to the division, and if it is not available there, it may be secured by transfer from another division. Prior to bidding on a project, contractors should confer with the District Engineer to determine what equipment will be necessary in addition to that owned by the contractors, themselves. Equipment will be released to the contractor as soon as it is needed, if it is available and availability can be ascertained by consulting with the District Engineer before bidding.

Q—May a contractor without any equipment bid on an army project, with the supposition that all equipment necessary for completion of the work may be rented from the equipment pool?

A—No. A contractor should own, or otherwise directly control, at least 40 to 50 per cent of the equipment which will be required for completion of the work within the time allotted.

Q—In what condition of repair is the equipment in the pool?

A—Equipment is maintained in first class operating condition by a program of inspection and overhaul. During operation of pool equipment on a project, it is subject to regular inspection by a member of the district mechanical equipment section, who also assists in making job repairs. At the conclusion of a project, pool equipment certified to be in good operating condition by the district maintenance inspector, is transferred to another project. Equipment requiring repairs is sent to the nearest authorized distributor, or other repair shop for overhaul. Insofar as possible the services of existing manufacturers' distributors will be utilized to maintain pool equipment in good condition at all times. No equipment will be released for rental unless it is in good operating condition.

Q—What rental rates will be used for pool equipment?

A—The rental rates established by OPA in Maximum Price Regulation No. 134 will apply to equipment controlled by the Army. The complete rental schedule was published in the May, 1942, issue of *Western Construction News*. Where the Army rerents, fees will be returned to the equipment owner. For the rental of equipment to the pool, Maximum Price Regulation No. 134 also applies.

Q—What do these rental rates include?

A—They include only the bare machine, with standard factory equipment. Rental rates do not include operators, fuel, lubrication, transportation to and from the job, or running repairs. Contractors are expected to maintain the equipment during the rental period if such minor repairs may be necessary, in the same manner as has been customary with privately rented equipment. Assistance in securing repair parts, welding rod, and other materials may be secured from the Mechanical Equipment Branch.

Q—Does the equipment pool have available repair parts and materials?

A—Stocks of repair parts and materials will be carried by the authorized equipment manufacturers' distributors. These may be obtained by securing from the District Engineer a letter of approval which will authorize a dealer to release the needed parts or materials.

Q—Are parts available to contractors for equipment owned by themselves, and being used on army contracts?

A—Repair parts for privately owned equipment may be secured in the same manner as repair parts for rented equipment. If an overhaul is expected to be required for

(continued on page 16)

Suspensions and Revocations

From March 1, 1942, to September 15, 1942

ALLAN, JAMES, Oakland, lic. no. 19611, general building—suspended pending further order.

ALLEN, BERNARD R., Los Angeles, lic. no. 54394, general building—suspended pending further order.

AMEN TILE CO., Arcadia, lic. no. 60188, tile—suspended pending further order.

AMITEX EXTERMINATORS & BUILDERS, Los Angeles, lic. no. 55873, carpentry—suspended pending further order.

ANGEL, CLIFFORD E., Clearwater, lic. no. 69982, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended for 90 days and until restitution.

ARONSON, HARRY, Hollywood, lic. no. 73000, painting, decorating, paperhanging—revoked.

ASSOCIATED CONSTRUCTION CO., Los Angeles, lic. no. 71254, roofing—revoked.

AUCK, RALPH M., Los Angeles, lic. no. 4819, general building—suspended pending further order.

BAB-HOME CONSTRUCTION CO., Gardena, lic. no. 48166, general building—revoked.

BANKS, HAROLD A., Napa, lic. no. 54294, general building—suspended until restitution and for 60 days thereafter.

BANNISTER, J. C., INC., Los Angeles, lic. no. 53568, general building—suspended pending further order.

BARZ, PETER, Redwood City, lic. no. 49971, masonry—suspended for 60 days.

BERGER, FRANK G., San Diego, lic. no. 48952, general building—suspended pending further order.

BETTY, W. D., Stockton, lic. no. 42577, general building—suspended pending further order.

BLACK, R. A., Placerville, lic. no. 54035, general building—suspended pending further order.

BOHANNAN, A. N., Long Beach, lic. no. 54794, general building—suspended pending further order.

BOLLMAN, HENRY O., San Marino, lic. no. 46454, general building—suspended pending further order.

BOWERS, FRANK, Oakland, lic. no. 73113, roofing—suspended until restitution.

BOYER, BILL, Los Angeles, lic. no. 44938, general building—suspended pending further order.

BREEDLOVE, GEORGE W., San Jose, lic. no. 53267, general building—suspended pending further order.

BRIGHAM, FRANK H., Los Angeles, lic. no. 57112, general heavy construction—suspended pending further order.

BROWN, C. V., San Jose, lic. no. 13228, general building—suspended pending further order.

BROWN CABINET SHOP, Los Angeles, lic. no. 36789, cabinet and mill work—suspended until restitution.

BROWN, E., Los Angeles, lic. no. 38890, carpentry—suspended pending further order.

BUILDER ENGINEERS CO., Los Angeles, lic. no. 72040, general building—suspended pending further order.

CABALLERO, ED., San Diego, lic. no. 57577, general building—revoked.

CALIFORNIA MODERNIZATION CO., INC., Oakland, lic. no. 72041, general building—suspended until restitution and for 6 months thereafter.

CARLSON, JAMES R., Los Angeles, lic. no. 71673, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended pending further order.

CARNINE, SYDNEY, Fresno, lic. no. 55268, general building—suspended pending further order.

CARRERA, R., PAINTING CO., San Francisco, lic. no. 68537, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended until restitution and for 30 days thereafter.

CASTLE, R. J., Los Angeles, lic. no. 27636, general building—revoked.

CAVASSO, ENRI E., San Anselmo, lic. no. 27363, general building—suspended pending further order.

CHUBB, FRED, Long Beach, lic. no. 47619, general building—revoked.

CLAMPITT, J. H., CO., Hawthorne, lic. no. 70894, tile—suspended pending further order.

CLARK, L. L., Los Angeles, lic. no. 30827, general building—revoked.

CLARK, ROBT. A. J., San Rafael, lic. no. 73475, general building—suspended.

COFFMAN, W. M., Long Beach, lic. no. 65209, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended for 60 days.

CONRAD, L. R., Los Angeles, lic. no. 54649, general building—suspended pending further order.

CORREIA, A. E., Hayward, lic. no. 6502, general building—suspended pending further order.

COTTON, JOE A., San Francisco, lic. no. 56558, general building—suspended pending further order.

COWAN, H. D., & CO., INC., Los Angeles, lic. no. 72374, carpentry—suspended for 12 months.

CRAKE, J., Palo Alto, lic. no. 34555, flooring—suspended for 30 days.

CRANFORD, J. O., dba AFFILIATED BUILDERS, Huntington Park, lic. no. 5603, general building—suspended pending further order.

CRUZAN, O. M., San Gabriel, lic. no. 11248, general building—suspended pending further order.

CULP & CULP, San Fernando, lic. no. 67815, general building—suspended for 60 days and until restitution.

DAL BON, JOHN, & SON, San Francisco, lic. no. 50121, general building—suspended pending further order.

DANIEL, AL, Long Beach, lic. no. 63292, general building—suspended pending further order.

DAVIS, R. P., Sunnyvale, lic. no. 36165, general building—suspended pending further order.

DEALY, FRANCIS, Fresno, lic. no. 62703, general building—suspended pending further order.

DEUTCHMAN, SAM, Los Angeles, lic. no. 39345, general building—revoked.

DeWITT, ROY C., Los Angeles, lic. no. 45568, cement and concrete—suspended pending further order.

DUNN, JAMES, North Hollywood, lic. no. 54946, general building—suspended until restitution and for 30 days.

EDWARDS, WILLIAM D., Los Angeles, lic. no. 70938, general building—revoked.

ELLIOTT, LEO BRITTIAN, Culver City, lic. no. 47569, general building—suspended pending further order.

ESPARZA, GONZALO, Los Angeles, lic. no. 44128, house and building moving—suspended pending further order.

ESSERT, RAY S., San Diego, lic. no. 68387, general building—suspended pending further order.

EVANS, H. R., National City, lic. no. 64189, general building—suspended for 30 days.

FARNELL, RUSSELL G., Long Beach, lic. no. 69910 general building—suspended pending further order.

FARRAR, MELDON J., Crestline, lic. no. 71651, plastering—suspended pending further order.

FINDLEY, F. B., San Bernardino, lic. no. 62101, general building—revoked.

FITZSIMMONS, FRANK, Oakland, lic. no. 72191, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended pending further order.

FORD & YOUNG, Los Angeles, lic. no. 71090, general building—suspended pending further order.

FRILOT, AL A., Los Angeles, lic. no. 61682, general building—revoked.

FULTZ, H. K., Menlo Park, lic. no. 16115, plastering—suspended pending further order.

GAYER, HERMAN, Ocean Park, lic. no. 62404, general building—revoked.

GEDDES, JAMES E., Monterey Park, lic. no. 66422, general building—suspended pending further order.

GILBERT, A. B., Co., Los Angeles, lic. no. 42656, plumbing—revoked.

GROSCHAN, W. R., Los Angeles, lic. no. 47017, general building—suspended pending further order.

HANSEN, O. J., Los Angeles, lic. no. 59383, general building—suspended pending further order.

HEPP, ERNEST J., Sherman Oaks, lic. no. 58994, general building—suspended pending further order.

HILL, VERNON A., Chatsworth, lic. no. 48396, general building—suspended pending further order.

HOLLYWOOD PAVING CO., North Hollywood, lic. no. 73614, miscellaneous specialty—revoked.

HOMECRAFT INDUSTRIES, Los Angeles, lic. no. 73039, roofing—suspended pending further order.

HOMMES, RAY L., Los Angeles, lic. no. 39458, general building—suspended pending further order.

HOWARD, DUNCAN G., Burbank, lic. no. 56776, general building—suspended pending further order.

HOUSE OF ROBINSON BUILDERS, Burbank, lic. no. 42991, general building—suspended for 120 days and until restitution.

IDEAL HOMES BUILDING SERVICE, San Diego, lic. no. 49665, general building—suspended until restitution.

JACKSON, AL., Los Angeles, lic. no. 66250, general building—suspended pending further order.

JAHNS, CHARLES, Long Beach, lic. no. 67714, general building—revoked.

JAYICH, ANDREW, Los Angeles, lic. no. 27333, sewers, sewage disposal, drains—suspended for 30 days.

JEWELL, INC., Los Angeles, lic. no. 67663, general building—suspended pending further order.

JEWELL, WAYNE, Los Angeles, lic. no. 53873, general building—suspended pending further order.

KASTEN, C. A., Indio, lic. no. 56821, general building—revoked.

KINNEAR, ALLEN, South Gate, lic. no. 65416, general building—revoked.

KLEIN, JOHN, Santa Monica, lic. no. 20778, roofing—suspended for 30 days.

KLEIN & STREIT, Santa Monica, lic. no. 57682, roofing—suspended for 30 days.

KLINGENSMITH, R. C., Los Angeles, lic. no. 72201, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended pending further order.

LACKEY, GEO., ROOFING & SIDING CO., San Francisco, lic. no. 59173, roofing—suspended pending further order.

LAUGHLIN CONST. CO., Suisun, lic. no. 21443, general building—revoked.

LA VIGNE, JOE, South Gate, lic. no. 69947, plastering—revoked.

LA VOIE ORNAMENTAL IRON, Los Angeles, lic. no. 55308, ornamental metals—suspended pending further order.

LAWRENCE, J. O., Los Angeles, lic. no. 55457, general building—suspended pending further order.

LAWRENCE & McCARTHY, Sacramento, lic. no. 33861, general building—suspended pending further order.

LEE, CLARENCE, Sacramento, lic. no. 69860, general building—suspended pending further order.

LILLY, J. B., Los Angeles, lic. no. 45253, general building—revoked.

LIPSHITZ, SAM, Los Angeles, lic. no. 28371, general building—suspended pending further order.

LIPSHULTZ, SAM, San Francisco, lic. no. 28282, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended for 6 months.

LOHMAN, THEODORE H., San Diego, lic. no. 30149, general building—suspended pending further order.

MADISON, N., Los Angeles, lic. no. 23578, general building—suspended pending further order.

MAJESTIC HOME BUILDERS, North Hollywood, lic. no. 71307, general building—suspended pending further order.

MALONEY, THOMAS A., Sacramento, lic. no. 72113, general building—revoked.

MARTE, WILLIAM, Palm Springs, lic. no. 5166, general building—suspended pending further order.

MARTIN, GILBERT JAY, Los Angeles, lic. no. 57431, general building—suspended pending further order.

MATTHEWS & JOLIVETTE BUILDING CONTRACTORS, Los Angeles, lic. no. 72640, general building—suspended for 30 days.

McCOY, WM. B., Los Angeles, lic. no. 68843, general building—suspended pending further order.

McCRELLIS HARDWOOD FLOOR CO., San Francisco, lic. no. 37511, flooring—suspended pending further order.

McDANIEL, E. V., & SON, Los Angeles, lic. no. 60774, carpentry—suspended pending further order.

McGROARTY, JAMES M., Alhambra, lic. no. 59790, general building—suspended pending further order.

McMANUS, RAY, Los Angeles, lic. no. 38691, tile—suspended for 120 days.

MERRILL & MERRILL, Culver City, lic. no. 66294, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended for 60 days.

MERRITT, ARTHUR H., Los Angeles, lic. no. 44839, general building—revoked.

METZ, CHARLES E., Van Nuys, lic. no. 66351, general building—suspended pending further order.

MEYER & NEAHR, Los Angeles, lic. no. 61156, plumbing—suspended for 60 days.

MIDDLEMORE, T. J., Los Angeles, lic. no. 58123, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended pending further order.

MILLER, WILLIAM H., Sacramento, lic. no. 67369, general building—revoked.

MORCO MAINTENANCE, Los Angeles, lic. no. 41922, general building—suspended pending further order.

MORING, RAY, Los Angeles, lic. no. 59876, general building—revoked.

MORRISON, WILLIAM, Fresno, lic. no. 55742, carpentry—suspended pending further order.

MURPHY, HARD ERNEST, Canoga Park, lic. no. 71379, sewers, sewage disposal, drains—suspended pending further order.

MURPHY, RAYMOND C., San Francisco, lic. no. 69714, general building—suspended pending further order.

NEWLAND, FRANK A., SR., San Fernando, lic. no. 69736, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended pending further order.

OAKLAND ROOF COMPANY, Oakland, lic. no. 71755, roofing—suspended pending further order.

OAKS, JOHN C., Los Angeles, lic. no. 47666, roofing—suspended pending further order.

OKERSTROM, L. P., El Monte, lic. no. 62629, general building—suspended for 4 months and until restitution.

O'LEARY, E., Alhambra, lic. no. 63109, plastering—suspended pending further order.

O'LEARY, JAMES, Los Angeles, lic. no. 39294, general building—revoked.

OLSON, PAUL, Los Angeles, lic. no. 43607, general building—revoked.

OLSON, WALTER, Los Angeles, lic. no. 33709, painting, decorating, paperhanging—revoked.

OXFORD BUILDING CO., INC., Los Angeles, lic. no. 63885, general building—revoked.

PACIFIC STATES HOME IMPROVEMENT CO., Los Angeles, lic. no. 60153, painting, decorating, paperhanging—revoked.

PATTERSON, W. A., Van Nuys, lic. no. 65901, general building—suspended until restitution.

PELOT, WILLIAM E., Inglewood, lic. no. 59811, general building—suspended pending further order.

PEMBERTON MASTER PAINTERS, Huntington Park, lic. no. 48541, general building—suspended pending further order.

PIONEER BLDG. & SUPPLY CO., Watsonville, lic. no. 69426, general building—revoked.

PIPE, SAM W., Salinas, lic. no. 9574, plastering—suspended pending further order.

POLLOCK, GLENN, Los Angeles, lic. no. 60378, general building—suspended pending further order.

POLSTON, HENRY I., Beverly Hills, lic. no. 73646, general building—suspended pending further order.

PORTER, HOMER, Vallejo, lic. no. 54928, general building—suspended pending further order.

POULSEN, HAROLD C., Van Nuys, lic. no. 68270, carpentry—suspended pending further order.

PTOMEY, CHARLES L., Long Beach, lic. no. 70746, general building—suspended pending further order.

RATICAN, JAMES FRANCIS, Los Angeles, lic. no. 63195, flooring—suspended pending further order.

REEVES CONSTRUCTION CO., Los Angeles, lic. no. 46476, general building—revoked.

RHODES, B. F., Los Angeles, lic. no. 62812, plastering—suspended pending further order.

ROOT, L. L., Baldwin Park, lic. no. 14809, electrical—suspended for 60 days.

RUSCO, CARROLL B., Ukiah, lic. no. 35697, carpentry—suspended until restitution and for 30 days thereafter.

SAFEWAY CONSTRUCTION CO., Los Angeles, lic. no. 45276, roofing—revoked.

SAUNDERS, L. HERBERT, Los Angeles, lic. no. 59234, general building—revoked.

SCHEETS, WILLIAM J., Bell, lic. no. 48274, plastering—suspended pending further order.

SEIBEL, ARTHUR, Los Angeles, lic. no. 765, plastering—suspended pending further order.

SENGER, WALTER, Los Angeles, lic. no. 47308, general building—revoked.

SERIGHT, RALPH S., Los Angeles, lic. no. 58261, general building—revoked.

SHERIDAN, KIRBY M., SR., Los Angeles, lic. no. 52122, general building—suspended until restitution.

SMITH, CLEVE, Tujunga, lic. no. 70557, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended pending further order.

SMITH, H. E., Los Angeles, lic. no. 52750, general building—suspended pending further order.

SMITH & WILSON, Pacific Beach, lic. no. 72912, plastering—suspended pending further order.

SOUZA, MANUEL, Santa Clara, lic. no. 26572, general building—suspended pending further order.

STANDARD CONTRACTING CORP., Los Angeles, lic. no. 67559, general building—suspended pending further order.

STANLEY COMPANY, Hollywood, lic. no. 51122, miscellaneous specialty—suspended pending further order.

STOFLE, MARSHALL CO., Monterey Park, lic. no. 66305, general building—suspended pending further order.

STOLOWITZ, L., San Francisco, lic. no. 20499, electrical—suspended for 30 days.

STUART, C. W., Burbank, lic. no. 22974, excavating, grading, trenching—suspended pending further order.

SULLANS, FRANK, Los Angeles, lic. no. 71381, masonry—suspended for 30 days.

SULLIVAN CONSTRUCTION CO., San Diego, lic. no. 58093, general building—revoked.

SUMRALL, FRANK, & DUNCAN, HARRY, Compton, lic. no. 42647, plastering—revoked.

SWANSICK & SHEPPERD, Oakland, lic. no. 45652, masonry—suspended until restitution.

TERMINIX CO. OF SO. CALIF., Los Angeles, lic. no. 43777, miscellaneous specialty—revoked.

TILDEN, S. J., Los Angeles, lic. no. 63353, general building—suspended pending further order.

TOWLE, FRANK Z., Stockton, lic. no. 55090, plastering—suspended for 120 days and until restitution.

TRAVIS, SAM E., Willows, lic. no. 68118, general building—suspended pending further order.

TYLER, NORMAN, Los Angeles, lic. no. 47104, general building—revoked.

VALLEY VISTA NURSERIES, North Hollywood, lic. no. 71208, landscaping—suspended pending further order.

VANSLAGER, G. A., Burbank, lic. no. 63856, landscaping—suspended pending further order.

VOLZ, J. FRED, Pasadena, lic. no. 36668, cement and concrete—suspended pending further order.

WAGNER, DON, Monterey, lic. no. 62863, general building—suspended until defendant completes contract and for 90 days thereafter.

WALDON ROOFING CO., Los Angeles, lic. no. 39846, roofing—suspended pending further order.

WALLIN, VANCE, Las Vegas, Nevada, lic. no. 2279, general building—suspended for six months with reinstatement only in discretion of registrar.

WATSON & CLARK, Kentfield, lic. no. 71019, general building—suspended.

WATSON, RALPH P., Kentfield, lic. no. 69939, general building—suspended.

WATSON, ROBBIE, North Hollywood, lic. no. 69396, general building—revoked.

WATTS, HARRY A., Los Angeles, lic. no. 56805, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended pending further order.

WEBB, ROETH & SMITH, Porterville, lic. no. 71597, plastering—suspended pending further order.

WELCH, JOHN A., Los Angeles, lic. no. 51850—roofing—revoked.

WELLS, JOHN S., San Fernando, lic. no. 69294, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended pending further order.

WELSH, FRANK EVERETT, Los Angeles, lic. no. 69624, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended pending further order.

WHITE AND CO., Santa Cruz, lic. no. 68479, heating, ventilating, air conditioning—suspended until restitution and for 30 days thereafter.

WILSON, A. C., Santa Ana, lic. no. 64360, general building—suspended pending further order.

WOLTER, PAUL A., JR., Colma, lic. no. 39170, general building—suspended until restitution.

WYATT & ADAMS, Fair Oaks, lic. no. 64222, general building—suspended pending further order.

YATES & CO., Los Angeles, lic. no. 71866, general building—revoked.

YOCUM, MEL F., Sacramento, lic. no. 29884, painting, decorating, paperhanging—suspended for 60 days.

Reinstatements

From March 1, 1942, to September 15, 1942

BAB HOME CONSTRUCTION CO., THE, Gardena, lic. no. 48166, general building—reinstated 7-1-42.

BARRY, A. HOUSTON, Monterey Park, lic. no. 50940, general building—reinstated 3-11-42.

BLACK, R. A., Placerville, lic. no. 54035, general building—reinstated 6-4-42.

BRANNEN, H. V., San Pedro, lic. no. 37027, general building—reinstated 6-16-42 (pending a hearing).

BRIGHAM, FRANK H., Los Angeles, lic. no. 57112, general heavy construction—reinstated 5-25-42.

BROWN CABINET SHOP, Los Angeles, lic. no. 36789, cabinet and mill work—reinstated 7-7-42.

CAVASSO, ENRI E., San Anselmo, lic. no. 27363, general building—reinstated 3-16-42.

COFFMAN, R. O., & SON, Meridian, lic. no. 53168, general building—reinstated 2-6-42.

COFFMAN, W. M., Long Beach, lic. no. 65209, painting, decorating, paperhanging—reinstated 7-17-42.

COWAN, H. D., & CO., INC., Los Angeles, lic. no. 72374, carpentry—reinstated 6-12-42.

CULP AND CULP, San Fernando, lic. no. 67815, general building—reinstated 7-20-42.

DAGGETT, EARL H., Colton, lic. no. 45832, general building—reinstated 4-15-42.

EVANS, H. R., National City, lic. no. 64189, general building—reinstated 3-10-42.

FARNELL, RUSSELL G., Long Beach, lic. no. 69910, general building—reinstated 8-17-42 (pending a hearing).

FOSS, M. S., Sacramento, lic. no. 39955, cement and concrete—reinstated 4-14-42.

GUTHREY & WINES, Stockton, lic. no. 59025, painting, decorating, paperhanging—reinstated 3-11-42.

HILL, VERNON A., Chatsworth, lic. no. 48396, general building—reinstated 4-20-42.

HOME BUILDERS CONST. CO., Los Angeles, lic. no. 53000, general building—reinstated 3-18-42.

HOMMES, RAY L., COMPANY, Los Angeles, lic. no. 39458, general building—reinstated 9-1-42.

HOWARD, DUNCAN G., Los Angeles, lic. no. 56776, general building—reinstated 4-20-42.

JAYICH, ANDREW, Los Angeles, lic. no. 27333, sewers, sewage disposal, drains—reinstated 6-22-42.

KLEIN, JOHN, Santa Monica, lic. no. 20778, roofing—reinstated 4-1-42.

LA VOIE ORNAMENTAL IRON, Los Angeles, lic. no. 55308, ornamental metals—reinstated 9-10-42.

LILLY, J. B., Los Angeles, lic. no. 45253, general building—reinstated 4-21-42.

LINDSAY, JOHN R., Daly City, lic. no. 54158, general building—reinstated 3-21-42.

MAJESTIC HOME BUILDERS, Los Angeles, lic. no. 71307, general building—reinstated 8-18-42.

MATTHEWS & JOLIVETTE BUILDING CONTRACTORS, Los Angeles, lic. no. 72640, general building—reinstated 6-5-42.

McMANNUS, RAY, Los Angeles, lic. no. 38691, tile—reinstated 9-1-42.

MERRILL & MERRILL, Culver City, lic. no. 66294, painting, decorating, paperhanging—reinstated 6-5-42.

MEYER & NEAHR, Los Angeles, lic. no. 61156, plumbing—reinstated 7-3-42.

MOON, EDGAR R., South Gate, lic. no. 6642, plastering—reinstated 3-20-42.

NEU, WILLIAM H., Burbank, lic. no. 42965, painting, decorating, paperhanging—reinstated 3-16-42.

PAN PACIFIC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, Los Angeles, lic. no. 57631, general heavy construction—reinstated 6-30-42.

PELOT, WILLIAM E., Inglewood, lic. no. 59811, general building—reinstated 4-18-42.

REGALIA, BERNARD, Sausalito, lic. no. 9468, cement and concrete—reinstated 8-20-42.

ROBINSON, ROBINSON & ROBINSON, Van Nuys, lic. no. 61852, plastering—reinstated 4-20-42.

ROOT, L. L., Baldwin Park, lic. no. 14809, electrical—reinstated 5-23-42.

SCHEETS, WILLIAM J., Bell, lic. no. 48274, plastering—reinstated 5-20-42.

SKARIN, GUST, Los Angeles, lic. no. 9380, cement and concrete—reinstated 3-29-42.

SMITH, V. C., Los Angeles, lic. no. 11220, general building—reinstated 3-18-42.

STIMSON, FRANK L., La Jolla, lic. no. 49316, general building—reinstated 4-21-42.

STOLOWITZ, L., San Francisco, lic. no. 20499, electrical—reinstated 7-4-42.

SULLANS, FRANK, Los Angeles, lic. no. 71381, masonry—reinstated 5-2-42.

VALENZUELA, B. N., Los Angeles, lic. no. 60867, plastering—reinstated 3-12-42.

VALLEY VISTA NURSERIES, North Hollywood, lic. no. 71208, landscaping—reinstated 8-31-42 (pending hearing).

WELCH, JOHN A., Los Angeles, lic. no. 51850, roofing—reinstated 8-20-42.

YOCUM, MEL F., Sacramento, lic. no. 29884, painting, decorating, paperhanging—reinstated 6-29-42.

Army Equipment Pool Formed To Conserve Nation's Construction Machinery

(Continued from page 12)

any machine upon the completion of a project, the equipment will be inspected upon request when the project has reached approximately 70 per cent of completion. Upon completion of the project, parts will be ready at a distributor's shop when the equipment is presented for repair.

Q—Are rubber tires available within the pool?

A—At the present time, the equipment pool does not include tires. Contractors should secure tires required for privately owned equipment from the Local Rationing Board. When tires can not be secured through this board because of an exhausted local quota or State reserve, an appeal may be made to the District Engineer, who may request an increase in the State tire allotment from the National reserve.

Q—May contractors rent their own equipment to the Army with reasonable assurance that the equipment will be returned if the contractor is awarded another project for which the equipment is required?

A—The return of equipment rented to the pool will be determined by the relative importance of the projects. Equipment which is standing idle may be requisitioned by the Corps of Engineers if rental can not be arranged upon a reasonable basis. The policy of the Construction Equipment Branch will be to avoid requisitioning wherever possible.

Q—Will recapture clauses applying to equipment purchased under PD-3A be exercised?

A—Recapture will not be taken unless equipment remains idle for an unreasonable length of time or unless the owner refuses to rent or to use equipment on a government project. Equipment in this status can be transferred from one job to another by applying for a certificate of transfer, but will not be released from the recapture clause. Recapture will not be exercised without a fair appraisal of the equipment.

Renewal for 42-43

With our country plunged into war for seven months, and certain building restrictions imposed by the Federal authorities before "Pearl Harbor," the first renewal of license period (June 30, 1942), even under these abnormal conditions, produced 30,002 persons who sought to renew their licenses for this present fiscal year—July 1, 1942-June 30, 1943.

This number of renewals exceeded the expectations of all persons who sought to estimate the actual number for purposes of budget preparation and programs and policies of the Board for the new fiscal year.

For fiscal year 1941-1942, there were 36,808 license holders of record as of the close of the year at June 30th. This amount is a result of 33,300 regular renewals made the preceding year plus 3,508 original or new licenses granted throughout the year.

One will wonder at the large percentage of those who failed to renew their licenses. This is principally attributable to deaths, partnership dissolutions, and license surrenders by those giving up their licenses to engage in other pursuits. This percentage of those failing to renew is constant, year after year.

With a total registration of 36,808 as of June 30th, it was known that the same percentage as in past years would fail to renew and, accordingly, an arbitrary 5,000 was set as the figure. In addition, it was felt that another 5,000 would fail to renew due to the abnormal conditions caused by war and that, accordingly, the renewal would be in the neighborhood of 25,000. However, this latter estimate was greatly in error as shown by the actual renewal.

The Board takes great pride in this renewal as it evidences that the work of the Board in the past few years, especially in regard to its examination and classification undertakings, has been productive in that the licensees know that their license is a thing of value and that their failure to keep it renewed will result in their having to pass a prequalifying examination covering the work allowed in the classification under which they seek to be licensed should they again wish to practice the contracting vocation in this State.

printed in CALIFORNIA STATE PRINTING OFFICE
SACRAMENTO, *1942* GEORGE H. MOORE, STATE PRINTER

16459 10-42 34M